



Batch: BTech KTU AM 2K23

Staff: MERLIN RAJATHA N R

Subject : MAT102 - VECTOR CALCULUS, DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND TRANSFORMS

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024

Session Id: TE-SN2462-May-2024 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 46** Overall Score: 82.6

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	25	54.35%	443.75
2	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	14	30.43%	197.82
		Fair	10.28	5	10.87%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	4.35%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	21	45.65%	304.5
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	12	26.09%	141.72
		Fair	8.11	7	15.22%	56.77
		Poor	2.01	5	10.87%	10.05
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.17%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	23	50%	172.5
		Good	5.71	15	32.61%	85.65
		Fair	4.04	5	10.87%	20.2
		Poor	1.13	1	2.17%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	4.35%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	26	56.52%	152.1
	4. Approximate // of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	9	19.57%	32.94
		More than 25	1.91	3	6.52%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	17.39%	13.12
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	31	67.39%	124
		Yes	0.67	10	21.74%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	10.87%	8.25
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	24	52.17%	222
	1. Teachers ability to organize fecture	Satisfactory	6.34	16	34.78%	101.44
		Inadequate	2.50	3	6.52%	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	6.52%	9.48
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	34	73.91%	161.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	7	15.22%	9.38
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	10.87%	7
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	31	67.39%	213.28
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	12	26.09%	46.68
		No	0.74	2	4.35%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	2.17%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	34	73.91%	229.5
	4. Deliavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	8	17.39%	22.24
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.17%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	6.52%	5.82
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	38	82.61%	437
	J. Smearty of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	4	8.7%	5.32
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	8.7%	20.24
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	25	54.35%	281.25
	o. Overall reaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	14	30.43%	126.42
		Fair	6.46	5	10.87%	32.3
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	4.35%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Batch: BTech KTU AM 2K23

Staff: REJIMOAN R

Subject : EST102 - PROGRAMMING IN C Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024

Session Id: TE-SN2462-May-2024 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 82.94 Users Completed: 44

Users	Completed: 44			(Overall Scor	e: 82.94
Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	24	54.55%	426
		Good	14.13	12	27.27%	169.56
		Fair	10.28	6	13.64%	61.68
		Poor	3.53	1	2.27%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.27%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	22	50%	319
	r and a grant a real r	Good	11.81	12	27.27%	141.72
		Fair	8.11	9	20.45%	72.99
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.27%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	23	52.27%	172.5
		Good	5.71	14	31.82%	79.94
		Fair	4.04	5	11.36%	20.2
		Poor	1.13	1	2.27%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.27%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	26	59.09%	152.1
	Trippromission // or viscous from the suggestion with the suggestion of the contract	10 to 25	3.66	11	25%	40.26
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	15.91%	11.48
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	36	81.82%	144
		Yes	0.67	6	13.64%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	4.55%	3.3
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	21	47.73%	194.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	19	43.18%	120.46
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.27%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	6.82%	9.48
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	33	75%	156.75
	2) opera of proseniumon	Too Fast	1.34	5	11.36%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.40	6	13.64%	8.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	31	70.45%	213.28
		Some Times	3.89	9	20.45%	35.01
		No	0.74	2	4.55%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	4.55%	3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	28	63.64%	189
		Indifferent	2.78	9	20.45%	25.02
		Unpleasant	1.28	3	6.82%	3.84
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	9.09%	7.76
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	33	75%	379.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	5	11.36%	6.65
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	9.09%	20.24
		Blank	4.03	2	4.55%	8.06
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	22	50%	247.5
		Good	9.03	17	38.64%	153.51
		Fair	6.46	2	4.55%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	1	2.27%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	4.55%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Batch: BTech KTU AM 2K23

Staff: DR.SUMESH C.K.

Subject: EST110 - ENGINEERING GRAPHICS Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024

Session Id: TE-SN2462-May-2024 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 42** Overall Score: 85.17

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	27	64.29%	479.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	9	21.43%	127.17
2 1 2 2		Fair	10.28	4	9.52%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	1	2.38%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.38%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	27	64.29%	391.5
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	7	16.67%	82.67
		Fair	8.11	5	11.9%	40.55
		Poor	2.01	2	4.76%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.38%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	24	57.14%	180
		Good	5.71	10	23.81%	57.1
		Fair	4.04	3	7.14%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	3	7.14%	3.39
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	4.76%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	27	64.29%	157.95
	4. Approximate 70 of classes 1001 engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	6	14.29%	21.96
		More than 25	1.91	3	7.14%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	14.29%	9.84
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	32	76.19%	128
		Yes	0.67	8	19.05%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	4.76%	3.3
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	29	69.05%	268.25
	1. Teachers domey to organize recture	Satisfactory	6.34	10	23.81%	63.4
		Inadequate	2.50	2	4.76%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.38%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	32	76.19%	152
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	7	16.67%	9.38
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	7.14%	4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	31	73.81%	213.28
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	5	11.9%	19.45
		No	0.74	5	11.9%	3.7
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	2.38%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	30	71.43%	202.5
	4. Deliavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	5	11.9%	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28	3	7.14%	3.84
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	9.52%	7.76
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	34	80.95%	391
	5. Sincerny of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	4	9.52%	5.32
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	7.14%	15.18
		Blank	4.03	1	2.38%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	29	69.05%	326.25
	o. Overall Teaching effectivelless	Good	9.03	7	16.67%	63.21
		Fair	6.46	4	9.52%	25.84
		Poor	1.56	1	2.38%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.38%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Staff: SUJA DEVI V.

Subject : PHT100 - ENGINEERING PHYSICS A (FOR CIRCUIT BRANCHES)

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024 Batch: BTech KTU AM 2K23 Session Id: TE-SN2462-May-2024 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Users Completed: 42 Overall Score: 77.74

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	21	50%	372.75
1 1 2 2 3 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	1. Subject Kilowicuge	Good	14.13	11	26.19%	155.43
		Fair	10.28	6	14.29%	61.68
		Poor	3.53	2	4.76%	7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	4.76%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	14	33.33%	203
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	16	38.1%	188.96
		Fair	8.11	7	16.67%	56.77
		Poor	2.01	4	9.52%	8.04
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.38%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	16	38.1%	120
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	14	33.33%	79.94
		Fair	4.04	8	19.05%	32.32
		Poor	1.13	3	7.14%	3.39
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.38%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	22	52.38%	128.7
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	10	23.81%	36.6
		More than 25	1.91	3	7.14%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	16.67%	11.48
	5 Wil al. al. a. 1 . 1' a. a	No	4.00	30	71.43%	120
	Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	6	14.29%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	14.29%	9.9
	1.77. 1. 1.77.	Excellent	9.25	18	42.86%	166.5
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	16	38.1%	101.44
		Inadequate	2.50	7	16.67%	17.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.38%	3.16
	2.6 1.6	Just Right	4.75	31	73.81%	147.25
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	7.14%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	8	19.05%	11.2
	2 D 4 4 1	Yes	6.88	26	61.9%	178.88
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	11	26.19%	42.79
		No	0.74	3	7.14%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	4.76%	3.56
	4. Dehavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	24	57.14%	162
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	12	28.57%	33.36
		Unpleasant	1.28	4	9.52%	5.12
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	4.76%	3.88
	5.0' '4 01 4 1	Sincere	11.50	28	66.67%	322
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	7.14%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	9	21.43%	45.54
		Blank	4.03	2	4.76%	8.06
	(O 11m 11 00 11	Excellent	11.25	19	45.24%	213.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	12	28.57%	108.36
		Fair	6.46	7	16.67%	45.22
		Poor	1.56	2	4.76%	3.12
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	4.76%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.



Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering

Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in



Staff: DR.ANNAPOORNA L R

Subject: HUN102 - PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024
Session Id: TE-SN2462-May-2024
Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Users Completed: 41 Survey Id: 1E-20-Jan-2020

Overall Score: 87.79

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	29	70.73%	514.75
2	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	6	14.63%	84.78
		Fair	10.28	5	12.2%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.44%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	25	60.98%	362.5
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	11	26.83%	129.91
		Fair	8.11	4	9.76%	32.44
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.44%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	26	63.41%	195
		Good	5.71	9	21.95%	51.39
		Fair	4.04	4	9.76%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	1	2.44%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.44%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	27	65.85%	157.95
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	7	17.07%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	2	4.88%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	12.2%	8.2
	5 Whathantha ta alam di tata mata anda	No	4.00	32	78.05%	128
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	6	14.63%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	7.32%	4.95
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	24	58.54%	222
		Satisfactory	6.34	15	36.59%	95.1
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.44%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.44%	3.16
	2 Speed of progentation	Just Right	4.75	35	85.37%	166.25
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	4	9.76%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	4.88%	2.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	30	73.17%	206.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	14.63%	23.34
		No	0.74	3	7.32%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	4.88%	3.56
	4 Debession of the toroites	Pleasant	6.75	34	82.93%	229.5
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4	9.76%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	4.88%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.44%	1.94
	5 Cinquity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	35	85.37%	402.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2	4.88%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	7.32%	15.18
		Blank	4.03	1	2.44%	4.03
	(O . H.T. 1' . CC .'	Excellent	11.25	25	60.98%	281.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	12	29.27%	108.36
		Fair	6.46	3	7.32%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.44%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

• Best teacher in the Campus.





Staff: VRINTHA K V

Subject: HUN102 - PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024 Batch: BTech KTU AM 2K23 Session Id: TE-SN2462-May-2024 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Users Completed: 41 Overall Score: 76.81

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	22	53.66%	390.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	8	19.51%	113.04
		Fair	10.28	5	12.2%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	5	12.2%	17.65
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.44%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	20	48.78%	290
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	9	21.95%	106.29
		Fair	8.11	6	14.63%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	5	12.2%	10.05
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.44%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	20	48.78%	150
	3. Teachers willingness to Help	Good	5.71	8	19.51%	45.68
		Fair	4.04	7	17.07%	28.28
		Poor	1.13	5	12.2%	5.65
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.44%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	23	56.1%	134.55
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	7	17.07%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	5	12.2%	9.55
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	14.63%	9.84
	5 Wi d - d - a - 1 - 1' a - a - 1	No	4.00	31	75.61%	124
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	6	14.63%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	9.76%	6.6
2	1.77	Excellent	9.25	21	51.22%	194.25
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	13	31.71%	82.42
		Inadequate	2.50	4	9.76%	10
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	7.32%	9.48
		Just Right	4.75	27	65.85%	128.25
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	7	17.07%	9.38
		Can't Judge	1.40	7	17.07%	9.8
		Yes	6.88	26	63.41%	178.88
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	8	19.51%	31.12
		No No	0.74	5	12.2%	3.7
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	4.88%	3.56
		Pleasant	6.75	24	58.54%	162
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	6	14.63%	16.68
		Unpleasant	1.28	7	17.07%	8.96
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	9.76%	7.76
		Sincere	11.50	26	63.41%	299
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	5	12.2%	6.65
		Unable to Judge	5.06	8	19.51%	40.48
		Blank	4.03	2	4.88%	8.06
		Excellent		19	+	+
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness		11.25		46.34%	213.75
		Good	9.03	10	24.39%	90.3
		Fair	6.46	6	14.63%	38.76
		Poor	1.56	4	9.76%	6.24
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	4.88%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

• 1

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.



Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering

Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in



Staff : RESHMI KRISHNAN S

Subject: EST130-BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024
Session Id: TE-SN2462-May-2024
Users Completed: 44

Batch: BTech KTU AM 2K23
Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020
Overall Score: 89.22

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	33	75%	585.75
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	7	15.91%	98.91
		Fair	10.28	3	6.82%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.27%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	31	70.45%	449.5
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	9	20.45%	106.29
		Fair	8.11	3	6.82%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.27%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	27	61.36%	202.5
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	12	27.27%	68.52
		Fair	4.04	4	9.09%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
ļ		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.27%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	30	68.18%	175.5
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	7	15.91%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	15.91%	11.48
	5 Wil d. d. a. 1. 1' a. a. 1.	No	4.00	35	79.55%	140
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	5	11.36%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	9.09%	6.6
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	31	70.45%	286.75
		Satisfactory	6.34	12	27.27%	76.08
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.27%	3.16
	2.5 1.5	Just Right	4.75	35	79.55%	166.25
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	4	9.09%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	11.36%	7
	2 D 4 4 1	Yes	6.88	35	79.55%	240.8
ļ	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	13.64%	23.34
ļ		No	0.74	1	2.27%	0.74
ļ		Can't Judge	1.78	2	4.55%	3.56
ļ	4 D 1 - ' - Ctl 4 - 1	Pleasant	6.75	35	79.55%	236.25
ļ	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4	9.09%	11.12
ļ		Unpleasant	1.28	3	6.82%	3.84
ļ		Can't Judge	1.94	2	4.55%	3.88
	5.01 12.04 1.1	Sincere	11.50	38	86.36%	437
ļ	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	6.82%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	6.82%	15.18
ļ		Blank	4.03	0	0.8270	0
		Excellent	11.25	30	68.18%	337.5
ļ	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	9	20.45%	81.27
ļ		Fair	6.46	3	6.82%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	1	2.27%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.27%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

•

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Batch: BTech KTU AM 2K23

Staff: SUBHA V

Subject : EST130(B) - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation_May 2024

Session Id: TE-SN2462-May-2024 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 41** Overall Score: 73.72

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	18	43.9%	319.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	9	21.95%	127.1
		Fair	10.28	10	24.39%	102.8
		Poor	3.53	3	7.32%	10.59
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.44%	8
	2 Clarita and Hadamatan din a after them. Front and din a	Excellent	14.50	18	43.9%	261
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	8	19.51%	94.48
		Fair	8.11	8	19.51%	64.8
		Poor	2.01	5	12.2%	10.0
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	4.88%	8.18
	2 T 1 W'll'	Excellent	7.50	18	43.9%	135
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	6	14.63%	34.2
		Fair	4.04	9	21.95%	36.3
		Poor	1.13	6	14.63%	6.78
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	4.88%	4.42
		Less than 10	5.85	24	58.54%	140.
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	8	19.51%	29.2
		More than 25	1.91	4	9.76%	7.64
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	12.2%	8.2
		No	4.00	27	65.85%	108
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	8	19.51%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	14.63%	9.9
		Excellent	9.25	17	41.46%	157.
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	15	36.59%	95.1
		Inadequate	2.50	7	17.07%	17.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	4.88%	6.32
		†	4.75	26	63.41%	123
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right Too Fast	1.34	7	17.07%	9.38
				8		_
		Can't Judge	1.40		19.51%	11.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	23	56.1%	158
		Some Times	3.89	10	24.39%	38.9
		No	0.74	5	12.2%	3.7
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	7.32%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	24	58.54%	162
		Indifferent	2.78	7	17.07%	19.4
		Unpleasant	1.28	8	19.51%	10.2
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	4.88%	3.88
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	23	56.1%	264
		Not Sincere	1.33	6	14.63%	7.98
		Unable to Judge	5.06	9	21.95%	45.5
		Blank	4.03	3	7.32%	12.0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	20	48.78%	225
		Good	9.03	8	19.51%	72.2
		Fair	6.46	4	9.76%	25.8
		Poor	1.56	7	17.07%	10.9
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	4.88%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.